SOUTH DOWNS NATIONAL PARK

CONSULATION ON DOCUMENTS PUBLISHED BY DEFRA, 2 JULY – 13 AUGUST 2007

A. THE INSPECTORS RECOMMENDED DELETIONS FROM THE NATIONAL							
PARK (NB DEFRA are <u>not</u> inviting representations or objections on these							
recommendations, as they have already been considered at Public Inquiry)							
Map Ref	Site	Reasons for Inspector's recommendation	LDC position (Council – Feb 2003)				
Deletion 7	Ditchling	 Land to north of Ditchling village does not meet the "natural beauty" criterion for designation Although the village may meet the criterion, it is not <u>within</u> a tract of landscape that satisfies the designation criterion 	LDC supported inclusion of the village of Ditchling and the countryside to the north.				
Deletion 8	Plumpton (south of railway line)	 Better defined boundary "on the ground" 	LDC supported a better defined boundary in this area				
Deletion 9	The town of Lewes and the Ouse Valley to the north, up to Hamsey	 The town is too large to be subsumed into the wider landscape Doubts about an incoming National Park Authority having planning responsibility for such a large and significant settlement Area north of the town doesn't meet the designation criterion, so Lewes would not be set within a tract of landscape that meets the designation criterion 	LDC supported the inclusion of the town of Lewes and the Ouse Valley to the north, up to Hamsey.				
Deletion 10	Seaford (south of Chyngton Way)	 Land not of equal quality to adjacent downland, being a transitional landscape on the urban edge 	LDC supported inclusion of this land within the proposed National Park.				
Deletion 11	Tarring Neville (Chalk Pit)	 Chalk pit on edge of proposed National Park land likely to be subject to further mineral extraction 	No comment				

Deletion 12	Peacehaven (Land adj A259 west of Links Avenue)	 Land not of sufficient quality to justify designation 	No comment	
Deletion 15	Village Way, Falmer	The Inspector has not considered this site in the same way as all others at the Inquiry, i.e. focused on its merits in terms of the criteria for National Park designation. He appears to rely to a significant extent on the planning permission for major development on the site granted by the First Secretary of State in 2005 which has, of course, now been quashed	LDC supported inclusion of all land, both north and south of Village Way, in the National Park	
B. THE INS				
	tions and objections in	nvited by DEFRA)		
Map Ref	Site	Reasons for Inspector's recommendation	Initial officer comments	
	-	 Reasons for Inspector's recommendation Close visual link with the chalk escarpment in the south Free of landscape detractors Remnant of medieval 		
Map Ref	Site	 Reasons for Inspector's recommendation Close visual link with the chalk escarpment in the south Free of landscape detractors 		
Map Ref Addition 8	Site Ringmer Park Land east of	 Reasons for Inspector's recommendation Close visual link with the chalk escarpment in the south Free of landscape detractors Remnant of medieval deer park Land "reads as" continuation of the downland landscape Includes features of cultural and historical 		

Page 2 of 3

landscape

•

coastline and the downland, with range of

Ouse Valley Project will further enhance the

recreational

opportunities

additional land at

Tide Mills in the

However, the Inspector has made an error in

National Park.

				including the allocated Eastside Business Park in the proposed addition to the SDNP. This is a business development site of sub-regional importance. Recommend a strong objection
Addition 14	Telscombe Cliffs (Land south of the Tye on A259)	•	Part of wider sweep of downland, extending down to the sea	The District Council supported this addition at the Public Inquiry. Boundary would exclude the Portobello Waste Water Treatment Work, and associated coast defences